

EXHIBIT A

Yongmoon Kim (NJ Atty. ID #026122011)
KIM LAW FIRM LLC
411 Hackensack Avenue, Suite 701
Hackensack, New Jersey 07601
Telephone & Fax (201) 273-7117
ykim@kimlf.com

Ronald I. LeVine (NJ Atty. ID #278801972)
ron@ronlevinelaw.com
Eileen L. Linarducci (NJ Atty. ID #030891983)
elinarducci@ronlevinelaw.com
LAW OFFICE OF RONALD I. LEVINE, ESQ.
210 River Street, Suite 11
Hackensack, New Jersey 07601
Tel. (201) 489-7900
Fax (201) 489-1395

Attorneys for Plaintiff David M. Liebman

DAVID M. LIEBMAN, *on behalf of himself and
those similarly situated,*

Plaintiff,

vs.

FINANCIAL RECOVERY SERVICES, INC.;
LVNV FUNDING, LLC; and JOHN DOES 1 to
10,

Defendants.

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY
LAW DIVISION: ESSEX COUNTY

Civil Action

Docket No.

**CLASS ACTION COMPLAINT
and JURY DEMAND**

Plaintiff David M. Liebman, individually and on behalf of those similarly situated, by
way of Class Action Complaint against Defendants Financial Recovery Services, Inc., LVNV
Funding, LLC, and John Does 1 to 10, states:

NATURE OF THE CASE

1. This is a putative class action arising from Defendants, Financial Recovery
Services, Inc. and LVNV Funding, LLC's unlawful disclosure of private financial information to

third parties without the prior consent of the consumers.

2. The Fair Debt Collection Practices Act prohibits the disclosure of information to third parties to prevent identity theft and invasions of privacy. As the National Consumer Law Center put it eloquently:

As the world has gone digital, consumers' records, both financial and otherwise, are increasingly vulnerable to exposure. Transactions that were once fleeting, recorded only on paper and filed in some cabinet, or perhaps reduced to microfiche, are now but mouse-clicks away from duplication and dissemination.

Unregulated databases, escalating numbers of mergers, and the proliferation of information brokers—private investigators who specialize in obtaining computerized records—all threaten privacy. As was noted in Congress, “databases of personal identifiable information are increasingly prime targets of hackers, identity thieves, rogue employees, and other criminals, including organized and sophisticated criminal operations.”

The internet raises particular privacy concerns, as information sent over the World Wide Web may pass through dozens of different computer systems, each of which can snatch and hold the information in its coffers. In addition, website owners can track consumers' online behavior and gather information about their preferences, often without their knowledge. Web bugs, or tiny graphics that are put into web pages and e-mails, can monitor who views the information. Clickstream data can tell website owners which pages of the site were viewed and for how long. “Cookies” dropped onto a computer may not identify the user by name but do identify the particular computer, which allows an interested party to assemble a great deal of information about that computer's user.

Financial information is especially sensitive, able to reveal not just a consumer's standard of living and debt load, but also personal preferences and lifestyle details ranging from books bought to prescriptions purchased. In *California Bankers Ass'n v. Shultz*, Justice Powell pointed out that “[f]inancial transactions can reveal much about a person's activities, associations, and beliefs.” Justice Douglas elaborated further:

A checking account . . . [m]ay well record a citizen's activities, opinion, and beliefs as fully as transcripts of his telephone conversations . . . In a sense a person is defined by the checks he writes. By examining them the agents get to know his doctors, lawyers, creditors, political allies, social connections, religious affiliation, educational interests, the papers and magazines he reads, and so on ad infinitum.

The same can be said of credit card charges, debit purchases, and online

transactions. Forty years later, the details of these revealing consumer activities are easily collected, compiled, analyzed, and accessed, and thus have created a lucrative market for their trade. One industry leader among data aggregation companies, Acxiom, advertises that it has data on 2.5 billion consumers. Acxiom claims that one of its products covering American consumers has data on 250 million consumers, offering data not just on individual demographics, but also household characteristics, financial information, life events, major purchases, and behavior, all of which allows for targeted marketing. Experian reports that it manages data on more than 300 million consumers and 126 million households, while Equifax claims a database of over 115 million U.S. households distributed over 150 different segment groups, which can be used to predict behavior. In 2017, Equifax suffered a data breach that involved the personal data on nearly half the United States population being stolen, a breach that a Congressional committee found to have been “entirely preventable.” In 2014, the Federal Trade Commission filed a complaint against another data broker that allegedly bought the payday loan applications of consumers and then sold the information to marketers with no legitimate need for it, leading some scammers among them to debit millions from the consumers’ account.

National Consumer Law Center, Fair Credit Reporting (9th ed. 2017) § 18.1, *updated at* www.nclc.org/library (footnotes omitted and alterations in original) (attached as *Exhibit A*).

3. For example, in enacting the FDCPA, Congress found “abundant evidence of the use of abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors. Abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to marital instability, to the loss of jobs, and to **invasions of individual privacy**. 15 U.S.C. § 1692(a). *See also* *Douglass v. Convergent Outsourcing*, 765 F.3d 299, 303-04 (3d Cir. 2014) (“The disclosure of [the consumer’s] account number raises these privacy concerns. The account number is a core piece of information pertaining to [the consumer’s] status as a debtor and Convergent’s debt collection effort. Disclosed to the public, it could be used to expose her financial predicament. Because Convergent’s disclosure implicates core privacy concerns, it cannot be deemed benign.”).

4. Despite the *Douglass* ruling, Defendants continue to misuse and unlawfully disclose private financial information about consumers to third-parties.

5. Defendants' disclosure of sensitive financial information to third parties is an act consistent with a course of conduct and practice which was either designed to, or had as its natural consequence, an attempt to obtain money from consumers through the use of false, misleading, deceptive, abusive, unfair, unconscionable, and unlawful conduct prohibited by common law and statutory law including, but not limited to, the Consumer Fraud Act ("CFA"), N.J.S.A. 56:8-1, *et seq.*, and the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act ("FDCPA"), 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692, *et seq.*

6. Defendants are subject to strict liability under the FDCPA for the prohibited communication with third parties "without the prior consent of the consumer given directly to the debt collector . . . in connection with the collection of [the] debt, with any person other than the consumer" 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(b) and for violating 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(3).

7. Thus, Plaintiff bring this class action for damages against Defendants arising from Defendants' unlawful disclosure of sensitive and confidential personal identifying and financial information, when attempting to collect debts from New Jersey consumers.

8. Defendants are subject to strict liability under the FDCPA for communicating with third parties "without the prior consent of the consumer given directly to the debt collector . . . in connection with the collection of [the] debt, with any person other than the consumer"

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

9. This Court has jurisdiction to entertain this matter pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(d) and 28 U.S.C. § 1331.

10. Venue is proper in Essex County because Defendants regularly conduct business there, including the collection of debts against New Jersey residents residing in Essex County.

PARTIES

11. Plaintiff, David M. Liebman ("Liebman"), is a natural person.

12. “Plaintiff” refers to Liebman.

13. At all times relevant to this lawsuit, Plaintiff was a citizen of the State of New Jersey.

14. Defendant, Financial Recovery Services, Inc. (“FRS”) is a collection agency with an office located at 4510 West 77th Street, Suite 200, Edina, Minnesota 55435.

15. Defendant, LVNV Funding, LLC (“LVNV”) is a collection agency with an office located at 6801 S. Cimarron Road, Suite 424-J, Las Vegas, Nevada 89113 .

16. Defendants John Does 1 to 10 are natural persons and/or business entities all of whom reside or are located within the United States and personally created, instituted and, with knowledge that such practices were contrary to law, acted consistent with and oversaw policies and procedures used by the employees of Defendants that are the subject of this Complaint. Those defendants personally control the illegal acts, policies, and practices utilized by Defendants and, therefore, are personally liable for all of the wrongdoing alleged in this Complaint. Those fictitious names of individuals and businesses alleged for the purpose of substituting names of defendants whose identity will be disclosed in discovery and should be made parties to this action.

17. Some or all of John Does 1-10 set the policies and practices complained of herein.

18. Some or all of John Does 1-10 were actively engaged in the practices complained of herein.

19. In this pleading, “Defendants” in the plural refers to all Defendants.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

A. Allegations Regarding Defendants’ Practices Generally

20. Defendants regularly collect or attempt to collect debts that are past due.

21. Defendants regularly collect or attempt to collect debts allegedly owed to others

which were incurred primarily for personal, family or household purposes.

22. Defendants are in the business of collecting debts or alleged debts of natural persons which arise from transactions which are primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.

23. The alleged receivables associated with the debts were assigned to Defendants for the purpose of collection.

24. Defendants use the mails, telephone, the internet and other instruments of interstate commerce in engaging in the business of collecting defaulted debts or alleged debts of natural persons which arise from transactions which are primarily for personal, family, or household purposes.

25. Defendants are collection agencies.

B. Plaintiff Liebman

26. Defendants have asserted that Liebman allegedly incurred or owed certain financial obligations arising out of a personal account.

27. The debt ("Debt") arose from one or more transactions which were primarily for Liebman's personal, family or household purposes.

28. This account ("Account") was assigned to Defendants for collection.

29. Defendants contend that the Account is past due and in default.

30. The Account was past due and in default at the time it was placed with or assigned to Defendants for collection.

31. In an attempt to collect the Debt, FRS mailed collection letters to Liebman on July 9, 2020 ("7/9/20 Letter") and August 13, 2020 ("8/13/20 Letter") to collect.

32. A true copy of the FRS Letters¹ but with redactions, is attached as *Exhibit B*.

33. Liebman received and reviewed the FRS Letters.

34. Upon information and belief, the FRS Letters were mailed using a third-party letter vendor.

35. Liebman never provided consent to Defendants to communicate to third parties regarding his Debt.

36. By using a letter vendor, Defendants have recklessly disclosed Liebman's personal identifying information and private information about his Debt to a third party without Liebman's prior consent.

37. Defendants unlawfully disclosed information about Liebman's Debt including the account number associated with the Debt and the alleged balances due.

38. The FDCPA prohibits a debt collector from communicating with third parties "without the prior consent of the consumer given directly to the debt collector . . . in connection with the collection of any debt, with any person other than the consumer, his attorney, a consumer reporting agency if otherwise permitted by law, the creditor, the attorney of the creditor, or the attorney of the debt collector ."²

39. The FDCPA also prohibits "[t]he publication of a list of consumers who allegedly refuse to pay debts"³

40. Unlawfully communicating with a third party letter vendor regarding Plaintiff's debt violates the FDCPA because it is impermissible communication under sections 1692c(b) and

¹ FRS Letters mean the 7/9/20 Letter and the 8/13/20 Letter.

² 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(b).

³ 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(3).

1692d(3) which has the potential to cause harm to a consumer.⁴

41. FRS used the same procedures it used in sending the FRS Letters to Plaintiff when sending the same and/or similar letters to numerous other New Jersey consumers.

42. During the proposed class period, FRS sent letters the same or similar to the FRS Letters to numerous New Jersey consumers in an attempt to collect a debt.

43. It is Defendants' policy and practice to unlawfully communicate and convey private and sensitive information about consumers with third parties by using third party vendors to send written collection communications in attempts to collect consumer debts.

44. Upon information and belief, Defendants published a list of debtors, including Plaintiff, that allegedly refuse to pay debts.

CLASS ACTION ALLEGATIONS

45. Plaintiff brings this action individually and as a class action on behalf of all others similarly situated pursuant to Rule 4:32 of the New Jersey Rules of Court.

46. Subject to discovery and further investigation which may require Plaintiff to modify the following class definition at the time Plaintiff moves for class certification, Plaintiff seeks certification of a class initially defined as follows:

Class: All natural persons residing in the State of New Jersey whose information was disclosed by Financial Recovery Services, Inc., to a third party on or after July 8, 2015, in an attempt to collect a debt on behalf of LVNV Funding, LLC.

FDCPA Subclass: All natural persons residing in the State of New Jersey, to whom Financial Recovery Services, Inc., sent a collection letter; which letter (a) was dated within one year prior to July 8, 2021; (b) was seeking to collect a consumer debt allegedly owed to LVNV Funding, LLC; and (c) was sent using a third party letter vendor.

⁴ See *Hunstein v. Preferred Collection & Mgmt. Servs.*, 994 F.3d 1341 (11th Cir. 2021) (communicating with third party letter vendor violated the FDCPA).

47. Plaintiff seeks to recover statutory damages, actual damages, and attorney's fees and costs on behalf of himself and all class members under the claims asserted herein.

48. The Class for whose benefit this action is brought is so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable.

49. There are questions of law and fact common to the members of the Class that predominate over questions affecting only individuals.

50. A class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of this controversy since joinder of all members is impracticable. A class action will cause an orderly and expeditious administration of the claims of the Class and will foster economies of time, effort and expense by avoiding thousands of individual suits that will be based on the same legal theories that can be resolved in a single proceeding.

51. Plaintiff's claim is typical of the claims of the members of the Class. They are a member of the Class.

52. The questions of law and/or fact common to the members of the Class predominate over any questions affecting only individual members.

53. Plaintiff does not have interests antagonistic to those of the Class.

54. The Class, of which Plaintiff is a member, are readily identifiable. The Defendants have records of each account.

55. Plaintiff will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Class, and have retained competent counsel experienced in the prosecution of consumer litigation. Proposed Class Counsel have investigated and identified potential claims in the action; have a great deal of experience in handling class actions, other complex litigation, and claims of the type asserted in this action.

56. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the Class would run the risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications, which would establish incompatible standards of conduct for the Defendants in this action or the prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the class would create the risk that adjudications with respect to individual members of the class would as a practical matter be dispositive of the interests of the other members not parties to the adjudications or substantially impair or impede their ability to protect their interests. Prosecution as a class action will eliminate the possibility of repetitious litigation.

57. Plaintiff does not anticipate any difficulty in the management of this litigation.

FIRST COUNT
DECLARATORY JUDGMENT AND INJUNCTIVE RELIEF FOR THE CLASS

58. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all prior allegations as if set forth at length herein.

59. Defendants' prohibited disclosure of private and sensitive information constitute unfair and unconscionable commercial practices and otherwise violate the Consumer Fraud Act ("CFA") at N.J.S.A. 56:8-2 and the FDCPA at 15 U.S.C. § 1692 *et seq.*

60. Plaintiff suffered ascertainable loss from Defendants' CFA violations.

61. Plaintiff therefore has standing to seek injunctive and other equitable relief under the CFA, at N.J.S.A. 56:8-19, and the FDCPA.

62. Moreover, under the Uniform Declaratory Judgments Law at N.J.S.A. 2A:16-53, the Plaintiff and the putative Class members can seek declaratory relief.

63. The Defendants and their agents or others acting on their behalf should be enjoined from any further action or failing to take actions that result in any invasion of privacy, retain benefits from its illegal acts by the use of protected private and financial information.

WHEREFORE, as to Count One, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the putative class members, hereby requests a Judgment against Defendants, jointly and severally,

- a. Granting class certification for class-wide equitable relief under R. 4:32-1(b)(2), and issuing a declaratory judgment applicable to the Plaintiff and putative Class and Subclass, pursuant to the Uniform Declaratory Judgments Law at N.J.S.A. 2A:16-53, ruling that:

1. Defendants violated the CFA.
2. Defendants violated the FDCPA.

- b. Granting a permanent injunction against the Defendants, pursuant to the CFA, at N.J.S.A. 56:8-19, and the FDCPA prohibiting them from the disclosure of consumer's information;
- c. Directing the Defendants to provide equitable notice relief pursuant to the CFA and FDCPA, providing for notice to Class members of the declaratory and injunctive ruling.
- d. Awarding Plaintiff's counsel reasonable attorneys' fees and costs under the CFA;
- e. For such other and further relief as the Court deems equitable and just.

**SECOND COUNT
DAMAGES UNDER THE CONSUMER FRAUD ACT ON BEHALF OF THE CLASS**

64. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all prior allegations as if set forth at length herein.

65. Defendants are "persons" within the meaning of the CFA at N.J.S.A. 56:8-1.

66. Plaintiff and those similarly situated obtained "merchandise" within the meaning of the CFA at N.J.S.A. 56:8-1.

67. Defendants engaged in unconscionable commercial practices, deception, fraud, false promises, false pretenses and/or misrepresentations in connection with the sale of merchandise in violation of the CFA at N.J.S.A. 56:8-2.

68. Defendants engaged in unconscionable commercial practices, deception, fraud, false promises, false pretenses and/or misrepresentations in the subsequent performance of the

sale of merchandise in violation of the CFA at N.J.S.A. 56:8-2.

69. Defendants committed unconscionable commercial practices, deception, fraud, false promises, false pretenses and/or misrepresentations in direct violation of the CFA at N.J.S.A. 56:8-2.

70. As a result of Defendants' unlawful actions, Plaintiff and the Class members suffered ascertainable loss from Defendants' CFA violations, entitling them to treble damages under the CFA, at N.J.S.A. 56:8-19.

WHEREFORE, as to Count Two, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the putative Class members, hereby requests a Judgment against Defendants,

- a. Granting class certification of the Subclass under R. 4:32-1(b)(3);
- b. Awarding treble damages under the CFA, at N.J.S.A. 56:8-19;
- c. Alternatively awarding a refund of all moneys collected under the CFA, at N.J.S.A. 56:8-2.11;
- d. Awarding Plaintiff's counsel reasonable attorneys' fees and costs under the CFA, at N.J.S.A. 56:8-19;
- e. For pre-judgment and post-judgment interest; and
- f. For such other and further relief as the Court deems equitable and just.

**THIRD COUNT
NEGLIGENCE ON BEHALF OF THE CLASS**

71. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all prior allegations as if set forth at length herein.

72. Defendants owed the Plaintiff a duty to maintain the confidentiality of his private and financial information.

73. Expert testimony is not required to establish that the disclosure of confidential and protected information breaches a commonly known duty owed by Defendants.

74. The disclosure of the confidential and protected information of the Plaintiff and the Class damaged them by exposing their private information to persons who lacked any right or entitlement to know their private information.

75. The Plaintiff and others have suffered a compensable loss arising from the disclosure of their protected private and financial information.

76. The Class has likewise suffered a compensable loss arising from the disclosure of their protected private and financial information.

WHEREFORE, as to Count Three, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the putative Class members, hereby requests a Judgment against Defendants,

- a. Granting class certification of the Class under R. 4:32-1(b)(3);
- b. A money judgment for compensatory damages based on the Defendants' disclosure of the Plaintiff and Class' private information;
- c. For attorney's fees, litigation expenses and costs in connection with this action;
- d. For pre-judgment and post-judgment interest; and
- e. For such other and further relief as the Court deems equitable and just.

**FOURTH COUNT
INVASION OF PRIVACY ON BEHALF OF THE CLASS**

77. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all prior allegations as if set forth at length herein.

78. Defendants invaded the privacy of Plaintiff by unreasonable publication of private facts.

79. These private facts, Plaintiff's financial information, are actually private matters, the dissemination of such facts would be offensive to a reasonable person and there is no legitimate interest of the public in being apprised of the facts publicized.

80. Expert testimony is not required to establish that the disclosure of confidential

financial information invaded a person's privacy.

81. By publishing the private financial information of the Plaintiff and the Class, Defendants damaged them by exposing their private information to persons who lacked any right or entitlement to know their private financial information.

82. The Plaintiff and others have suffered a compensable loss arising from the invasion of their privacy.

83. The Class has likewise suffered a compensable loss arising from the invasion of their privacy.

WHEREFORE, as to Count Four, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the putative Class members, hereby requests a Judgment against Defendants,

- a. Granting class certification of the Class under R. 4:32-1(b)(3);
- b. A money judgment for compensatory damages based on the Defendants' invasion of the privacy of the Plaintiff and Class;
- c. For attorney's fees, litigation expenses and costs in connection with this action;
- d. For pre-judgment and post-judgment interest; and
- e. For such other and further relief as the Court deems equitable and just.

COUNT FIVE

FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT FOR THE FDCPA SUBCLASS

84. Plaintiff repeats and realleges all prior allegations as if set forth at length herein.

85. Defendants are "debt collectors" within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).

86. The Debt is a "debt" within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).

87. Plaintiff is a "consumer" within the meaning of 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).

88. The FRS Letters are "communication[s]" as defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).

89. Defendants sent the FRS Letters in an attempt to collect a "debt" within the

meaning of 15 U.S.C. §1692a(5).

90. Defendants violated the FDCPA including sections 1692c, 1692c(b), 1692d, 1692d(3), and 1692f of the FDCPA.

91. Based on any one of those violations, Defendants are liable to Plaintiff and the Class for statutory damages, attorney's fees and costs under 15 U.S.C. § 1692k.

WHEREFORE, as to Count Five, Plaintiff, on behalf of himself and the putative Class members, hereby requests a Judgment against Defendants, Financial Recovery Services, Inc. and LVNV Funding, LLC,

- a. An order certifying that the Cause of Action may be maintained as a class pursuant to R. 4:32 including defining the class, defining the class claims, and appointing Plaintiff as the class representative and the undersigned attorney as class counsel;
- b. An award of statutory damages for Plaintiff pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A) and § 1692k(a)(2)(B)(i);
- c. An award of statutory damages for the Class pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(B)(ii);
- d. Attorney's fees, litigation expenses, and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(B)(3); and
- e. For pre-judgment and post-judgment interest; and
- f. For such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

JURY DEMAND

Plaintiff demands a trial by jury on all issues subject to trial by jury.

DESIGNATION OF TRIAL COUNSEL

Pursuant to Rule 4:25-4, Yongmoon Kim is designated as trial counsel for Plaintiff.

CERTIFICATION

Pursuant to Rule 4:5-1, I hereby certify to the best of my knowledge that the matter in controversy is not the subject of any action pending in any court or the subject of a pending arbitration proceeding, nor is any other action or arbitration proceeding contemplated. There is a similar case styled *LVNV Funding LLC v. Hilda Nuamah*, ESX-L-3614-21 and *Edward Scibilia v. Financial Recovery Services, Inc., et al.*, 2:21-cv-13173-MCA-MAH (D.N.J) (formerly ESX-L-3924-21). I further certify that I know of no party who should be joined in this action at this time.

I hereby certify that pursuant to Rule 1:38-7: All confidential identifiers of the parties to this action have been redacted from all documents or pleadings submitted to the Court.

Dated: July 8, 2021

KIM LAW FIRM LLC

/s/ Yongmoon Kim
Yongmoon Kim, Esq.

Attorneys for Plaintiff

ESSEX COUNTY - CIVIL DIVISION
SUPERIOR COURT OF NJ
465 MARTIN LUTHER KING JR BLVD
NEWARK NJ 07102

TRACK ASSIGNMENT NOTICE

COURT TELEPHONE NO. (973) 776-9300
COURT HOURS 8:30 AM - 4:30 PM

DATE: JULY 09, 2021
RE: LIEBMAN DAVID VS FINANCIAL RECOVERY SERVICES,
DOCKET: ESX L -005372 21

THE ABOVE CASE HAS BEEN ASSIGNED TO: TRACK 4.

DISCOVERY IS PRESUMPTIVELY 450 DAYS BUT MAY BE ENLARGED OR SHORTENED BY THE JUDGE AND RUNS FROM THE FIRST ANSWER OR 90 DAYS FROM SERVICE ON THE FIRST DEFENDANT, WHICHEVER COMES FIRST.
FROM SERVICE ON THE FIRST DEFENDANT, WHICHEVER COMES FIRST.

THE MANAGING JUDGE ASSIGNED IS: HON KEITH E. LYNOTT

IF YOU HAVE ANY QUESTIONS, CONTACT TEAM 002
AT: (973) 776-9300 EXT 56908.

IF YOU BELIEVE THAT THE TRACK IS INAPPROPRIATE YOU MUST FILE A CERTIFICATION OF GOOD CAUSE WITHIN 30 DAYS OF THE FILING OF YOUR PLEADING.

PLAINTIFF MUST SERVE COPIES OF THIS FORM ON ALL OTHER PARTIES IN ACCORDANCE WITH R.4:5A-2.

ATTENTION:

ATT: YONGMOON KIM
KIM LAW FIRM LLC
411 HACKENSACK AVE STE 701
HACKENSACK NJ 07601

ECOURTS

Civil Case Information Statement

Case Details: ESSEX | Civil Part Docket# L-005372-21

Case Caption: LIEBMAN DAVID VS FINANCIAL
RECOVERY SERVICES,

Case Initiation Date: 07/08/2021

Attorney Name: YONGMOON KIM

Firm Name: KIM LAW FIRM LLC

Address: 411 HACKENSACK AVE STE 701

HACKENSACK NJ 07601

Phone: 2012737117

Name of Party: PLAINTIFF : Liebman, David, M

Name of Defendant's Primary Insurance Company
(if known): Unknown

Case Type: COMPLEX COMMERCIAL

Document Type: NJ eCourts Case Initiation Confirmation

Jury Demand: YES - 6 JURORS

Is this a professional malpractice case? NO

Related cases pending: YES

If yes, list docket numbers: ESX-L-3614-21 and 2:21-cv-13173-MCA-
MAH (D.N.J) (formerly ESX-L-3924-21)

**Do you anticipate adding any parties (arising out of same
transaction or occurrence)?** NO

Are sexual abuse claims alleged by: David M Liebman? NO

THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ON THIS FORM CANNOT BE INTRODUCED INTO EVIDENCE

CASE CHARACTERISTICS FOR PURPOSES OF DETERMINING IF CASE IS APPROPRIATE FOR MEDIATION

Do parties have a current, past, or recurrent relationship? NO

If yes, is that relationship:

Does the statute governing this case provide for payment of fees by the losing party? YES

**Use this space to alert the court to any special case characteristics that may warrant individual
management or accelerated disposition:**

Do you or your client need any disability accommodations? NO

If yes, please identify the requested accommodation:

Will an interpreter be needed? NO

If yes, for what language:

Please check off each applicable category: Putative Class Action? YES **Title 59?** NO **Consumer Fraud?** YES

I certify that confidential personal identifiers have been redacted from documents now submitted to the court, and will be redacted from all documents submitted in the future in accordance with Rule 1:38-7(b)

07/08/2021
Dated

/s/ YONGMOON KIM
Signed

The Fair Credit Reporting Act (FCRA) protects a limited segment of financial privacy, by regulating consumer reporting agencies (CRAs) that collect credit information about consumers, those who provide information to the CRAs, and those who seek information from CRAs. However, many consumer financial transactions do not fall within the FCRA, and other sources of privacy law must be examined to see if they can protect personal financial data from those who seek to acquire and exploit it. Discussed below are some federal statutes, state statutes, common law tort claims, and identity theft laws that may serve to shield consumers' economic conduct.

As the world has gone digital, consumers' records, both financial and otherwise, are increasingly vulnerable to exposure. Transactions that were once fleeting, recorded only on paper and filed in some cabinet, or perhaps reduced to microfiche, are now but mouse-clicks away from duplication and dissemination.

Unregulated databases, escalating numbers of mergers, and the proliferation of information brokers—private investigators who specialize in obtaining computerized records—all threaten privacy. As was noted in Congress, “databases of personal identifiable information are increasingly prime targets of hackers, identity thieves, rogue employees, and other criminals, including organized and sophisticated criminal operations.”¹

The internet raises particular privacy concerns, as information sent over the World Wide Web may pass through dozens of different computer systems, each of which can snatch and hold the information in its coffers. In addition, website owners can track consumers' online behavior and gather information about their preferences, often without their knowledge. Web bugs, or tiny graphics that are put into web pages and e-mails, can monitor who views the information. Clickstream data can tell website owners which pages of the site were viewed and for how long. “Cookies” dropped onto a computer may not identify the user by name but do identify the particular computer, which allows an interested party to assemble a great deal of information about that computer's user.²

Financial information is especially sensitive, able to reveal not just a consumer's standard of living and debt load, but also personal preferences and lifestyle details ranging from books bought to prescriptions purchased. In *California Bankers Ass'n v. Shultz*,³ Justice Powell pointed out that “[f]inancial transactions can reveal much about a person's activities, associations, and beliefs.” Justice Douglas elaborated further:

A checking account . . . [m]ay well record a citizen's activities, opinion, and beliefs as fully as transcripts of his telephone conversations . . . In a sense a person is defined by the checks he writes. By examining them the agents get to know his doctors, lawyers, creditors, political allies, social connections, religious affiliation, educational interests, the papers and magazines he reads, and so on ad infinitum.⁴

The same can be said of credit card charges, debit purchases, and online transactions. Forty years later, the details of these revealing consumer activities are easily collected, compiled, analyzed, and accessed, and thus have created a lucrative market for their trade. One industry leader among data aggregation companies, Acxiom, advertises that it has data on 2.5 billion consumers.⁵ Acxiom claims that one of its products covering American consumers has data on 250 million consumers, offering data not just on individual demographics, but also household characteristics, financial information, life events, major purchases, and behavior, all of which allows for targeted marketing.⁶ Experian reports that it manages data on more than 300 million consumers and 126 million households,⁷ while Equifax claims a database of over 115 million U.S. households distributed over 150 different segment groups, which can be used to predict behavior.⁸ In 2017, Equifax suffered a data breach that involved the personal data on nearly half the United States population being stolen, a breach that a Congressional committee found to have been “entirely preventable.”⁹ In 2014, the Federal Trade Commission filed a complaint against another data broker that allegedly bought the payday loan applications of consumers and then sold the information to marketers with no legitimate need for it, leading some scammers among them to debit millions from the consumers' accounts.¹⁰

Marketers are intensely interested in consumers' online and other behavior so they can pinpoint consumers for advertising.¹¹ Businesses want to learn as much about consumers as possible.¹² Furthermore, since the 9/11 attacks, the federal government, specifically the National Security Agency, has voraciously sought data about individuals both inside and outside the United States.¹³ Political groups seek out and aggregate information about consumers to assign them “persuasion scores” that purport to measure how likely that consumer is to vote for or against a particular candidate.¹⁴ Even the mundane task of grocery shopping is considered sufficiently informative that supermarkets use “loyalty cards” to track every item purchased by every cardholder, and they are free to sell that information to anyone who might be interested. There is a near insatiable hunger to

learn how consumers get and spend their money.

Notwithstanding the sensitivity embedded in a person's financial choices, they are, for the most part, fair game for trade. While federal law protects against disclosure of video rental preferences,¹³ cable viewing preferences,¹⁶ medical records,¹⁷ and student records,¹⁸ it does not yet prevent financial or other institutions from selling their customers' Social Security numbers, account balances, maturity dates, securities holdings, or other information to private entities.¹⁹ Consequently, consumers remain largely ignorant of the trafficking in such personal information.

In 2014, the FTC conducted an in-depth study of nine data brokers that collect personal information about consumers and then sell it for marketing and other purposes, and issued an extensive and often critical report.²⁰ In addition to describing the processes by which data brokers gather and use consumer information, the agency called for Congress to "consider enacting legislation that would enable consumers to learn of the existence and activities of data brokers and provide consumers with reasonable access to information about them held by these entities."²¹ In effect, this is a call for Congress to extend some of the basic rights provided by the FCRA to data that falls outside that act. However, there could be downsides to such legislation to regulate data brokers, such as preemption of stronger state laws and potential impairment of the FCRA if brokers are covered under both that Act and the proposed law.

Though the FCRA limits some disclosures by private parties of consumer financial information, it does not give consumers the right to prohibit a CRA from disclosing accurate, nonobsolete information to those deemed to have a permissible purpose.²² Furthermore, while some data warehousers fall within the definition of a CRA,²³ others that sell their records for reasons other than those included in the definition of a CRA may evade the FCRA's restrictions.²⁴

In addition, the Gramm-Leach-Bliley Act (the GLBA) gives consumers a limited right to "opt out" of certain disclosures by financial institutions to nonaffiliated third parties.²⁵ However, its abundant exceptions arguably all but destroy the protection it purports to provide.

American privacy law is poorly suited to protecting privacy, especially of computerized consumer information. Aside from the FCRA, privacy laws largely fall into three categories: laws protecting personal privacy from invasions by governments, federal or local; the common law tort of invasion of privacy; and statutes and case law that prohibit private parties from obtaining²⁶ or disclosing²⁷ specific types of information. Relevant provisions of the GLBA²⁸ will be described in this last category;²⁹ they impose certain notice requirements on financial institutions who disclose financial data and a limited right for consumers to opt out of some kinds of disclosures.

Footnotes

1 {1} Personal Data Privacy and Security Act of 2005, S. 1332, 109th Cong. (June 29, 2005).

2 {2} See www.epic.org/privacy/internet/cookies [1].

3 {3} 416 U.S. 21 (1974).

4 {4} *Id.* at 85, 90 (Douglas, J., dissenting).

5 Axiom Data: Unparalleled Global Consumer Insights [2] 2, available at <https://www.acxiom.com>.

6 Axiom Data: Unparalleled Global Consumer Insights [2] 3–4, available at <https://www.acxiom.com>.

7 {7} Experian. Experian Marketing Services: Consumer View [3], available at <https://www.experian.com>.

- 8 {8} Equifax, Compiled Data [4], *available at* www.equifax.com. In 2017, Equifax had credit information on 820 million consumers. U.S. House of Representatives, Committee on Oversight and Government Reform Majority Staff Report, *The Equifax Data Breach* [5] 15 (Dec. 2018), *available at* <https://republicans-oversight.house.gov>.
- 9 U.S. House of Representatives, Committee on Oversight and Government Reform Majority Staff Report, *The Equifax Data Breach* [5] 2 (Dec. 2018), *available at* <https://republicans-oversight.house.gov>.
- 10 {10} Fed. Trade Comm'n, *FTC Charges Data Broker with Facilitating the Theft of Millions of Dollars from Consumers' Accounts* (Dec. 23, 2014) [6], *available at* www.ftc.gov.
- 11 {11} See Frank Pasquale, *The Dark Market for Personal Data*, N.Y. Times, Oct. 16, 2014.
- 12 {12} See, e.g., Stephanie Clifford and Quentin Hardy, *Attention, Shoppers: Store Is Tracking Your Cell*, N.Y. Times, July 14, 2013; Your Privacy for Sale, Consumer Rep. (Oct. 2006).
- 13 {13} See James Risen and Laura Poitras, N.S.A. Gathers Data on Social Connections of U.S. Citizens, N.Y. Times, Sept. 28, 2013 [7], *available at* www.nytimes.com (describing the practices of NSA in analyzing phone call and e-mail logs, along with material from "public, commercial and other sources, including bank codes, insurance information, Facebook profiles, passenger manifests, voter registration rolls and GPS location information," for both foreigners and Americans alike).
- 14 {14} For instance, in the 2016 election the data analytics company Cambridge Analytica claimed to have developed "psychographic" profiles on potential voters that the Trump campaign could exploit to grow its voter base. See Nicholas Confessore and Danny Hakim, *Data Firm Says "Secret Sauce" Aided Trump; Many Scoff*, N.Y. Times, Mar. 6, 2017, at A1. See also Jim Rutenberg, *Data You Can Believe In: The Obama Campaign's Digital Masterminds Cash In*, N.Y. Times Magazine, June 20, 2013. One data-mining company that specializes in voter information, Aristotle, Inc., advertises that it maintains a "massive and ever-expanding database [that] includes over 190 million U.S. voters" and that it can "microtarget[]" individuals based on their "interests and hobbies," along with "political district, political party affiliation, super-voters, gender, ethnicity, marital status, wealth, educational level and presence of children." <http://aristotle.com/political-data> [8].
- 15 {15} Video Privacy Protection Act of 1988, 18 U.S.C. § 2710.
- Several states have similar acts, some of which extend beyond prohibiting merely the disclosure of video rental records to the disclosure of the purchase or rental of *any* written materials. See, e.g., Mich. Comp. Laws § 445.1712 (known as both Michigan's Preservation of Privacy Act and the Video Rental Privacy Act) (prohibiting, with exceptions anyone who is "engaged in the business of selling at retail . . . written materials" from disclosing information about the transaction in a way that "indicates the identity of the customer"). See also *Coulter-Owens v. Rodale, Inc.*, 2015 WL 575004, at *4 (E.D. Mich. Feb. 11, 2015) (denying dismissal of claim under state video rental privacy act arising from the alleged sale by the defendant, a magazine publisher, of subscribers' information to data-mining companies). The Sixth Circuit recently limited the reach of Michigan's act by concluding that a magazine publisher that used independent third parties to sell subscriptions could not be liable to a customer who had bought a subscription to its publication because the publisher was therefore not "in the business of selling at retail." *Coulter-Owens v. Time Inc.*, 695 Fed. Appx. 117, 123–124 (6th Cir. 2017).
- 16 {16} Cable Communications Policy Act of 1984, 47 U.S.C. § 551(c). Note that the USA PATRIOT Act expanded the list of disclosures permitted by the Cable Communications Policy Act by adding certain disclosures made to specified government authorities. Pub. L. No. 107-56, § 211 (Oct. 26, 2001), *amending* 47 U.S.C. § 551(c).

NCLC 18.1 Introduction

Published on NCLC Digital Library (<https://library.nclc.org>)DIGITAL
LIBRARY

Date downloaded: April 26, 2021 6:52 pm

17 {17} Most health insurers and providers must comply with the Privacy Rule promulgated pursuant to the Health Insurance Portability and Accountability Act (HIPAA). 45 C.F.R. §§ 160.101 to 160.312, §§ 164.102 to 164.534. The HIPAA Privacy Rule generally prohibits covered entities from using or disclosing protected health information except as specifically allowed. Among the permitted disclosures are those to CRAs for purposes of payment, so long as the disclosure is limited to the following information: name and address, date of birth, Social Security number, payment history, account number, and name and address of the health care provider. 45 C.F.R. §§ 164.501, 164.506(c)(1). In 2009, Congress expanded the categories of those subject to HIPAA's anti-disclosure requirements in the Health Information Technology for Economic and Clinical Health Act (the HITECH Act). Pub. L. No. 111-5, 123 Stat. 115 (Feb. 7, 2009) (codified in scattered sections of titles 26 and 42 of the United States Code). *See also* 45 C.F.R. § 160.103 (containing an expanded definition of the "business associates" who are subject to the anti-disclosure provisions). For a discussion of the HIPAA Privacy Rule, see National Consumer Law Center, Collection Actions § 9.3.4 [9] (4th ed. 2017), *updated at* www.nclc.org/library. *See* § 5.4 [10], *supra*, for a discussion of the FCRA's restrictions on medical information.

18 {18} Family Educational Rights & Privacy Act of 1974, 20 U.S.C. § 1232g.

19 {19} Federal law prohibits firms and persons who regularly prepare income tax returns for others from disclosing personal tax information or using it for other purposes, with a few exceptions. 26 U.S.C. § 7216. The Privacy Act of 1974, 5 U.S.C. § 552a, requires all government agencies, whether federal, state, or local, that request Social Security numbers to provide a disclosure statement that explains whether the consumer is required to provide the number, how it will be used, and under what statutory authority the agency is requesting the number. The Act provides that a consumer cannot be denied a benefit for refusing to provide the number unless the number is required by federal law (or the disclosure is to an agency that had been using Social Security numbers prior to enactment of the Privacy Act). Although usually a consumer is not compelled to disclose her Social Security number to a private business, no federal law prohibits them from asking for it or from refusing to do business with a consumer who refuses to provide it.

20 {20} Fed. Trade Comm'n, Data Brokers: A Call for Transparency and Accountability, at i (May 2014) [11], *available at* www.ftc.gov.

21 {21} *Id.* at vii. The FTC noted these specific concerns: "Data brokers acquire a vast array of detailed and specific information about consumers; analyze it to make inferences about consumers, some of which may be considered sensitive; and share the information with clients in a range of industries. *All of this activity takes place behind the scenes, without consumers' knowledge.*" *Id.* (emphasis added).

22 {22} The Act additionally imposes some restrictions on users of consumer reports and imposes obligations on those that furnish information to CRAs to provide accurate information. *See* Chs. 6 [12] and 7 [13], *supra*. Some state laws give consumers the right to deny access to, or to "freeze," their consumer reports. *See* § 9.4.1 [14], *supra*, and Appx. H [15], *infra*.

23 {23} *See* § 2.7.5 [16], *supra*.

24 {24} U.S. Gov't Accountability Office, GAO-06-674, Report to the Committee on Banking, Housing and Urban Affairs, U.S. Senate: Personal Information: Key Federal Privacy Laws Do Not Require Information Resellers to Safeguard All Sensitive Data (June 2006). *See generally* Ch. 2 [17], *supra* (discussing what constitutes a "consumer report" and "consumer reporting agency").

25 {25} *See* § 18.4.1 [18], *infra*.

26 {26} For example, through wiretapping. *See, e.g.*, 18 U.S.C. § 2510; Cal. Penal Code §§ 631 to 637; Conn. Gen. Stat. Ann. §§ 53a-187 to 53a-189b; Haw. Rev. Stat. § 711-1111.

18.1 Introduction

DIGITAL LIBRARY Published on NCLC Digital Library (<https://library.nclc.org>)

Date downloaded: April 26, 2021 6:52 pm

27 {27} For example, the disclosure of customers' video recording rentals. *See, e.g.*, Cal. Civ. Code § 1799.3 (West); Conn. Gen. Stat. Ann. § 53-450; Iowa Code Ann. § 727.11.

28 {28} 15 U.S.C. §§ 6801 to 6810.

29 {29} *See* § 18.4.1 [18], *infra*.

Source: National Consumer Law Center, Fair Credit Reporting [9th ed.], updated at www.nclc.org/library

Source URL: <https://library.nclc.org/fcr/1801-0>

Links

[1] <http://www.epic.org/privacy/internet/cookies>

[2] https://www.acxiom.com/wp-content/uploads/2019/02/Axiom_Data_Overview_2019_02.pdf

[3] <https://www.experian.com/marketing-services/targeting/data-driven-marketing>

[4] <http://www.equifax.com/compiled-data/>

[5] <https://republicans-oversight.house.gov/wp-content/uploads/2018/12/Equifax-Report.pdf>

[6] <https://www.ftc.gov/news-events/press-releases/2014/12/ftc-charges-data-broker-facilitating-theft-millions-dollars>

[7] http://www.nytimes.com/2013/09/29/us/nsa-examines-social-networks-of-us-citizens.html?_r=0

[8] <http://aristotlc.com/political-data>

[9] <https://library.nclc.org/nclc/link/CA.09.03.04>

[10] <https://library.nclc.org/nclc/link/PCR.05.04>

[11] https://www.ftc.gov/system/files/documents/reports/data-brokers-call-transparency-accountability-report-federal-trade-commission-may-2014/140527databrokerreport.pdf?utm_source=govdelivery

[12] <https://library.nclc.org/nclc/link/FCR.06>

[13] <https://library.nclc.org/nclc/link/FCR.07>

[14] <https://library.nclc.org/nclc/link/FCR.09.04.01>

[15] <https://library.nclc.org/nclc/link/FCR.AH>

[16] <https://library.nclc.org/nclc/link/FCR.02.07.05>

[17] <https://library.nclc.org/nclc/link/FCR.02>

[18] <https://library.nclc.org/nclc/link/FCR.18.04.01>

DEPT 813 9563175420071
PO BOX 4115
CONCORD CA 94524



RETURN SERVICE REQUESTED

July 9, 2020



DAVID LIERMAN

REDACTED


REDACTED

FINANCIAL RECOVERY SERVICES, INC.

P.O. Box 385908
Minneapolis, MN 55438-5908
1-844-319-2418

CURRENT CREDITOR: LVNV FUNDING LLC
ORIGINAL CREDITOR: REDACTED
ACCOUNT NUMBER: XXXXXXXXXXXXX^{REDACTED}
DATE OF LAST PAYMENT: REDACTED
CHARGE-OFF DATE: REDACTED
DATE FIRST DELINQUENT: REDACTED

TOTAL BALANCE DUE: REDACTED
FRS FILE NUMBER: REDACTED
ON LINE PIN NUMBER: REDACTED7
(Used to access and view your file on WWW.FIN-REC.COM)



***** Avoid Client Review For Law Firm Assignment *****

Please be advised that LVNV FUNDING LLC owns the account referenced above and it has been assigned to our office for collection. If you pay ^{REDACTED}, the above referenced account will be considered paid in full.

Unless you notify this office within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of the debt or any portion thereof, this office will assume this debt is valid. If you notify this office in writing within 30 days after receiving this notice that you dispute the validity of this debt or any portion thereof, this office will obtain verification of the debt or obtain a copy of a judgment and mail you a copy of such judgment or verification. If you request this office in writing within 30 days after receiving this notice, this office will provide you with the name and address of the original creditor, if different from the current creditor.

If we cannot resolve this account, it will be returned to the current creditor and reviewed to determine whether or not placement with a law firm licensed in your jurisdiction is appropriate. Such return to the current creditor and review will not occur until after the 30 day time period set forth above and after it is recalled from our office. As of today, no attorney has reviewed the particular circumstances of this account. However, if you fail to contact this office, the creditor may consider additional remedies to recover the balance due. We are not a law firm and we cannot provide you with legal advice and we will not sue on this account. We do not make decisions as to whether or not to place any account with a law firm as that decision rests solely with the current creditor.

I respectfully ask that you contact our office and make arrangements to resolve your account with us before it is reviewed by our client for assignment to a licensed attorney in your state. To make a payment, please call us at the toll-free number listed below. FRS now accepts some forms of payment on-line at www.fin-rec.com. See your On-Line access PIN above. We look forward to working with you to resolve this matter.

Sincerely,

WADE DAVIS
Account Manager
Toll Free: 1-844-319-2418

This is an attempt to collect a debt. Any information obtained will be used for that purpose.
This communication is from a debt collector.

See reverse side for important information.

Office hours are: Monday-Thursday, 7am to 8pm CST; Friday 7am to 5pm CST; Saturday 7am to noon CST.

Detach Coupon And Mail Payment

1 OF 3

FRS File #: REDACTED



1-844-319-2418

Balance due as of July 9, 2020: REDACTED

Amount enclosed: _____

Home phone: _____

Work phone: _____

Cell phone: _____

Financial Recovery Services, Inc.
P.O. Box 385908
Minneapolis, MN 55438-5908
Letter Code Sent: 01 3

2 OF 3

FRS File #: REDACTED



1-844-319-2418

Balance due as of July 9, 2020: REDACTED

Amount enclosed: _____

Home phone: _____

Work phone: _____

Cell phone: _____

Financial Recovery Services, Inc.
P.O. Box 385908
Minneapolis, MN 55438-5908
Letter Code Sent: 01 3

3 OF 3

FRS File #: REDACTED



1-844-319-2418

Balance due as of July 9, 2020: REDACTED

Amount enclosed: _____

Home phone: _____

Work phone: _____

Cell phone: _____

Financial Recovery Services, Inc.
P.O. Box 385908
Minneapolis, MN 55438-5908
Letter Code Sent: 01 3

[EXHIBIT B]

We are required under certain State and Local Laws to notify consumers of those States or Localities of the following rights. This list does not contain a complete list of the rights consumers have under Federal, State, or Local Laws.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR CALIFORNIA RESIDENTS

The state Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act and the federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act require that, except under unusual circumstances, collectors may not contact you before 8 a.m. or after 9 p.m. They may not harass you by using threats of violence or arrest or by using obscene language. Collectors may not use false or misleading statements or call you at work if they know or have reason to know that you may not receive personal calls at work. For the most part, collectors may not tell another person, other than your attorney or spouse, about your debt. Collectors may contact another person to confirm your location or enforce a judgment. For more information about debt collection activities, you may contact the Federal Trade Commission at 1-877-FTC-HELP or www.ftc.gov.

DISCLOSURE REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA LAW

You have the right to know what personal information is being collected about you, to know if your personal information is sold or disclosed and to whom, to say no to the sale of personal information, to access your personal information, and to equal service and price. Federal and state laws prohibit us from disclosing information about your debt to third parties. Personal information is collected solely for the purpose of debt recovery and remains part of our records until we determine the information is no longer needed, or as otherwise required by law. We do not sell or share your personal information and we do not provide services or products to consumers that would involve consumer pricing.

We may collect the following categories of personal information:

- Identifying Information;
- Personal Information categories listed in California Customer Records Statute;
- Protected classification characteristics under California or Federal law;
- Internet or other electronic network activity information;
- Professional or employment-related information;
- Non-public education information (per the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act);

You may read our Privacy Policy at www.lin-rec.com or request a written copy by contacting us.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR COLORADO RESIDENTS

FOR INFORMATION ABOUT THE COLORADO FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT, SEE WWW.COAG.GOV/CAR

COLORADO OFFICE LOCATION: 27 NORTH WILLERUP, SUITE B, MONTROSE, CO 81401
LOCAL PHONE: 970-249-7514 TOLL-FREE PHONE: 1-866-436-4766

A CONSUMER HAS THE RIGHT TO REQUEST IN WRITING THAT A DEBT COLLECTOR OR COLLECTION AGENCY CEASE FURTHER COMMUNICATIONS WITH THE CONSUMER. A WRITTEN REQUEST TO CEASE COMMUNICATION WILL NOT PROHIBIT THE DEBT COLLECTOR OR COLLECTION AGENCY FROM TAKING ANY OTHER ACTION AUTHORIZED BY LAW TO COLLECT THE DEBT.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR MASSACHUSETTS RESIDENTS

If you wish to discuss this matter, please call us direct, between the hours of 8 a.m. and 5 p.m. CST, at the number listed on the front of this notice. Massachusetts resident office address is: 49 Winter St, Weymouth, MA 02189 with office hours: M-Th 10am-3pm.

NOTICE OF IMPORTANT RIGHTS: YOU HAVE THE RIGHT TO MAKE A WRITTEN OR ORAL REQUEST THAT TELEPHONE CALLS REGARDING YOUR DEBT NOT BE MADE TO YOU AT YOUR PLACE OF EMPLOYMENT. ANY SUCH ORAL REQUEST WILL BE VALID FOR ONLY TEN DAYS UNLESS YOU PROVIDE WRITTEN CONFIRMATION OF THE REQUEST POSTMARKED OR DELIVERED WITHIN SEVEN DAYS OF SUCH REQUEST. YOU MAY TERMINATE THIS REQUEST BY WRITING TO THE DEBT COLLECTOR.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR MINNESOTA RESIDENTS

THIS COLLECTION AGENCY IS LICENSED BY THE MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR NEW YORK CITY RESIDENTS

This collection agency is licensed by the New York City Department of Consumer Affairs. The license number is 1015506.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR NORTH CAROLINA RESIDENTS

North Carolina Department of Insurance Permit Number: 3917 Address: 4510 W. 77th St, Suite 200, Edina, MN 55435

NC Permit Number 113527 Address: 6300 Shingle Creek Parkway Ste 220, Brooklyn Center, MN 55435

NC Permit Number 4508 Address: 823 Belknap, Suite 205, Superior, WI 54880

Please direct all correspondence and payments to the following address: P.O. Box 385908, Minneapolis, MN 55438-5908

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR TENNESSEE RESIDENTS

This collection agency is licensed by the Collection Service Board of the State Department of Commerce and Insurance.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR WISCONSIN RESIDENTS

This collection agency is licensed by the Division of Banking in the Wisconsin Department of Financial Institutions. www.wdfi.org.

NOTICE TO ALL CONSUMERS

Our staff is trained to conduct themselves in a businesslike and professional manner, and to leave you with a positive experience in dealing with our Company. If you have a complaint, criticism, suggestion, or compliment about the way we are collecting this debt, please write to us at P.O. Box 385908, Minneapolis, MN 55438-5908, email us at compliance@lin-rec.com, submit on-line at www.lin-rec.com, or call us toll-free at (866) 438-2860 between 9am and 5pm CST Monday-Friday.

When you provide a check as payment, you authorize us either to use information from your check to make a one-time electronic fund transfer from your account or to process the payment as a check transaction.

[EXHIBIT B]

PRIVACY NOTICE

This Privacy Notice is being provided on behalf of each of the following related companies (collectively, the "Resurgent Companies"). It describes the general policy of the Resurgent Companies regarding the personal information of customers and former customers.

Resurgent Capital Services L.P.	LVNV Funding, LLC	Ashley Funding Services LLC
Resurgent Acquisitions LLC	PYOD LLC	SFG REO, LLC
Resurgent Receivables LLC	Pinnacle Credit Services, LLC	CACV of Colorado, LLC
Resurgent Funding LLC	Sherman Originator LLC	Anson Street LLC
CACH, LLC	Sherman Originator III LLC	Sherman Acquisition L.L.C.

Information We May Collect. The Resurgent Companies may collect the following personal information: (1) information that we receive from your account file at the time we purchase or begin to service your account, such as your name, address, social security number, and assets; (2) information that you may give us through discussion with you, or that we may obtain through your transactions with us, such as your income and payment history; (3) information that we receive from consumer reporting agencies, such as your creditworthiness and credit history, and (4) information that we obtain from other third party information providers, such as public records and databases that contain publicly available data about you, such as bankruptcy and mortgage filings. All of the personal information that we collect is referred to in this notice as "collected information".

Confidentiality and Security of Collected Information. At the Resurgent Companies, we restrict access to collected information about you to individuals who need to know such collected information in order to perform certain services in connection with your account. We maintain physical safeguards (like restricted access), electronic safeguards (like encryption and password protection), and procedural safeguards (such as authentication procedures) to protect collected information about you.

Sharing Collected Information with Affiliates From time to time, the Resurgent Companies may share collected information about customers and former customers with each other in connection with administering and collecting accounts to the extent permitted under the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act or applicable state law.

Sharing Collected Information with Third Parties The Resurgent Companies do not share collected information about customers or former customers with third parties, except as permitted in connection with administering and collecting accounts under the Fair Debt Collections Practices Act and applicable state law.

[EXHIBIT B]

DEPT 813 4529213720082
PO BOX 4115
CONCORD CA 94524



RETURN SERVICE REQUESTED

August 13, 2020



DAVID LIEBMAN

REDACTED


REDACTED

FINANCIAL RECOVERY SERVICES, INC.

P.O. Box 385908
Minneapolis, MN 55438-5908
1-844-319-2418

CURRENT CREDITOR: LVNV FUNDING LLC
ORIGINAL CREDITOR: REDACTED
ACCOUNT NUMBER: XXXXXXXXXXXX REDACTED
DATE OF LAST PAYMENT: REDACTED
CHARGE-OFF DATE: REDACTED
DATE FIRST DELINQUENT: REDACTED

TOTAL BALANCE DUE: REDACTED
FRS FILE NUMBER: REDACTED
ON-LINE PIN NUMBER: REDACTED
(Used to access and view your file on WWW.FIN-REC.COM)



We have a limited amount of time before the above-referenced account is recalled from us and reviewed by the current creditor for placement with a law firm. As of the date of this letter, you owe the outstanding balance of REDACTED. If you pay REDACTED, the above referenced account will be considered paid in full.

If we cannot resolve this account, it will be returned to the current creditor and reviewed to determine whether or not placement with a law firm licensed in your jurisdiction is appropriate. As of today, no attorney has reviewed the particular circumstances of this account. We are not a law firm and we cannot provide you with legal advice and we will not sue on this account. We do not make decisions as to whether or not to place any account with a law firm as that decision rests solely with the current creditor.

We may be able to help you resolve your account for a reduced amount and we may be able to extend the payment out to as many as twelve monthly payments. In some cases, we can even grant extensions to get the file resolved and affordable payments can be setup with you to allow more time. We are willing to listen to any reasonable offer to resolve this account.

We respectfully ask that you contact our office and make arrangements to resolve your account with us before it is recalled and reviewed by our client for assignment to a licensed attorney in your state. Please call us at 1-844-319-2418 or utilize our on-line web solution at WWW.FIN-REC.COM to view and access your file using the on-line PIN number and FRS File number referenced above. We look forward to working with you to resolve this matter.

Sincerely,

WADE DAVIS
Account Manager
Toll Free: 1-844-319-2418

This is an attempt to collect a debt. Any information obtained will be used for that purpose.
This communication is from a debt collector.

See reverse side for important information.

Office hours are: Monday-Thursday, 7am to 8pm CST; Friday 7am to 5pm CST; Saturday 7am to noon CST.

*****Detach and return this portion of this notice with your payment*****

1 OF 3

FRS File #: REDACTED



1-844-319-2418

Balance due as of August 13, 2020: REDACTED

Amount enclosed: _____

Home phone: _____


Work phone: _____

Cell phone: _____

Financial Recovery Services, Inc.
P.O. Box 385908
Minneapolis, MN 55438-5908
Letter Code Sent: 01 A

2 OF 3

FRS File #: REDACTED



1-844-319-2418

Balance due as of August 13, 2020: REDACTED

Amount enclosed: _____

Home phone: _____

Work phone: _____

Cell phone: _____

Financial Recovery Services, Inc.
P.O. Box 385908
Minneapolis, MN 55438-5908
Letter Code Sent: 01 A

3 OF 3

FRS File #: REDACTED



1-844-319-2418

Balance due as of August 13, 2020: REDACTED

Amount enclosed: _____

Home phone: _____

Work phone: _____

Cell phone: _____

Financial Recovery Services, Inc.
P.O. Box 385908
Minneapolis, MN 55438-5908
Letter Code Sent: 01 A

EXHIBIT

We are required under certain State and Local Laws to notify consumers of those States or Localities of the following rights. This list does not contain a complete list of the rights consumers have under Federal, State, or Local Laws.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR CALIFORNIA RESIDENTS

The state Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act and the federal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act require that, except under unusual circumstances, collectors may not contact you before 8 a.m. or after 9 p.m. They may not harass you by using threats of violence or arrest or by using obscene language. Collectors may not use false or misleading statements or call you at work if they know or have reason to know that you may not receive personal calls at work. For the most part, collectors may not tell another person, other than your attorney or spouse, about your debt. Collectors may contact another person to confirm your location or enforce a judgment. For more information about debt collection activities, you may contact the Federal Trade Commission at 1-877-FTC-HELP or www.ftc.gov.

DISCLOSURE REQUIRED BY CALIFORNIA LAW

You have the right to know what personal information is being collected about you, to know if your personal information is sold or disclosed and to whom, to say no to the sale of personal information, to access your personal information, and to equal service and price. Federal and state laws prohibit us from disclosing information about your debt to third parties. Personal information is collected solely for the purpose of debt recovery and remains part of our records until we determine the information is no longer needed, or as otherwise required by law. We do not sell or share your personal information and we do not provide services or products to consumers that would involve consumer pricing.

We may collect the following categories of personal information:

- Identifying information;
- Personal information categories listed in California Customer Records Statute;
- Protected classification characteristics under California or Federal law;
- Internet or other electronic network activity information;
- Professional or employment-related information;
- Non-public education information (per the Family Educational Rights and Privacy Act);

You may read our Privacy Policy at www.fin-rec.com or request a written copy by contacting us.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR COLORADO RESIDENTS

FOR INFORMATION ABOUT THE COLORADO FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT, SEE

[HTTPS://COAG.GOV/OFFICE-SECTIONS/CONSUMER-PROTECTION/CONSUMER-CREDIT-UNIT/COLLECTION-AGENCY-REGULATION/](https://coag.gov/office-sections/consumer-protection/consumer-credit-unit/collection-agency-regulation/)

COLORADO OFFICE LOCATION: 27 NORTH WILLERUP, SUITE B, MONTROSE, CO 81401

LOCAL PHONE: 970-249-7514 TOLL-FREE PHONE: 1-866-436-4766

A CONSUMER HAS THE RIGHT TO REQUEST IN WRITING THAT A DEBT COLLECTOR OR COLLECTION AGENCY CEASE FURTHER COMMUNICATIONS WITH THE CONSUMER. A WRITTEN REQUEST TO CEASE COMMUNICATION WILL NOT PROHIBIT THE DEBT COLLECTOR OR COLLECTION AGENCY FROM TAKING ANY OTHER ACTION AUTHORIZED BY LAW TO COLLECT THE DEBT.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR MASSACHUSETTS RESIDENTS

If you wish to discuss this matter, please call us direct, between the hours of 8 a.m. and 5 p.m. CST, at the number listed on the front of this notice. Massachusetts resident office address is: 49 Winter St, Weymouth, MA 02189 with office hours: M-Th 10am-3pm.

NOTICE OF IMPORTANT RIGHTS: YOU HAVE THE RIGHT TO MAKE A WRITTEN OR ORAL REQUEST THAT TELEPHONE CALLS REGARDING YOUR DEBT NOT BE MADE TO YOU AT YOUR PLACE OF EMPLOYMENT. ANY SUCH ORAL REQUEST WILL BE VALID FOR ONLY TEN DAYS UNLESS YOU PROVIDE WRITTEN CONFIRMATION OF THE REQUEST POSTMARKED OR DELIVERED WITHIN SEVEN DAYS OF SUCH REQUEST. YOU MAY TERMINATE THIS REQUEST BY WRITING TO THE DEBT COLLECTOR.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR MINNESOTA RESIDENTS

THIS COLLECTION AGENCY IS LICENSED BY THE MINNESOTA DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR NEW YORK CITY RESIDENTS

This collection agency is licensed by the New York City Department of Consumer Affairs. The license number is 1015506.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR NORTH CAROLINA RESIDENTS

North Carolina Department of Insurance Permit Number: 3917 Address: 4510 W. 77th St, Suite 200, Edina, MN 55435

NC Permit Number 113527 Address: 6300 Shingle Creek Parkway Ste 220, Brooklyn Center, MN 55435

NC Permit Number 4508 Address: 823 Belknap, Suite 205, Superior, WI 54880

Please direct all correspondence and payments to the following address: P.O. Box 385908, Minneapolis, MN 55438-5908

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR TENNESSEE RESIDENTS

This collection agency is licensed by the Collection Service Board of the State Department of Commerce and Insurance.

ADDITIONAL INFORMATION FOR WISCONSIN RESIDENTS

This collection agency is licensed by the Division of Banking in the Wisconsin Department of Financial Institutions, www.wdfl.org.

NOTICE TO ALL CONSUMERS

Our staff is trained to conduct themselves in a businesslike and professional manner, and to leave you with a positive experience in dealing with our Company. If you have a complaint, criticism, suggestion, or compliment about the way we are collecting this debt, please write to us at P.O. Box 385908, Minneapolis, MN 55438-5908, email us at compliance@fin-rec.com, submit on-line at www.fin-rec.com, or call us toll-free at (866) 438-2860 between 9am and 5pm CST Monday-Friday.

When you provide a check as payment, you authorize us either to use information from your check to make a one-time electronic fund transfer from your account or to process the payment as a check transaction.

[EXHIBIT B]